



for obligatory Formal Registration : Appl.10/505,353 (PCT/EP02/02302)  
 Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450  
 USA. From Dr.Y.Zagyansky, Entraide, 22 rue Ste Marthe 75010 Paris France  
 Paris, 25<sup>th</sup> November 2006 Fax: 1-571-273-8300

*Letter of 2 pages*

Mr.Jon Dudas (personally), Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of USPTO [copies to Dr.John Dole (Commissioner for Patents), Margaret Focarino (Deputy Commissioner for Patent Operations), Jay Lucas (Deputy Commissioner to Patent Examination and PCT cooperation).

**AFTER STOPPING, ACCUSED CONTINUING REALLY RULING BANDITS CONTINUE IN EVIDENCE. To stop finally and immediately Atrocious Crime against Humanity. To stop continuing of sure falsifications.**

Dear Sir, I accuse B.R. Campell (Supervisory Patent Examiner) and M.Horning (Patent Examiner) as Executors of Organized intentional Crime against Humanity, ASS-BANDITISM and Brigandage. After my letter to you of 12<sup>th</sup> July 06, the accused intentional assassin and Brigand was immediately dismissed according to this file in USPTO Register. It had surely meant that High USPTO accepted the evidence that this Accused really "does not know the too elementary: macrophages (leukocytes) can move into tissue from bloodstream", that was SOLE (sole!, sole!) CONCRETE EXAMPLE against Patent (Fantastical revealing of the illiterate by chance!). That already signified SURELY that this his Middle Age level could understand nothing serious in very developed invention (according even to best specialists in AIDS) and he perfectly knew it. So letter-action of such surely ZERO "specialist" was surely illegal and criminal and all written negative must be criminally intentionally negative (as proclamations of the illiterate, moreover à la intentional criminal solde) and consequently null and void. That was accepted immediately by USPTO de facto.

But suddenly in 4 months (???) (justly after my important letter "abroad" received 10<sup>th</sup> NOV 06), new USPTO Examiners M.Horning and B.Campell wrote (of course INTENTIONALLY without any CONCRETIZATION ABOUT SURELY illiterate level) as above letter-action was not written by dismissed man who surely could not a priori understand the invention (moreover by accused criminal intentional Brigand and Assassin). The "question" is: "Can the illiterate man, who de facto (accepted already by USPTO!) cannot understand invention AT ALL, criticize it?". OF COURSE NOT!!! It is illegal penal letter of "Examiner" Stucker.

So letter of newly appointed Examiners Horning and Campell is openly penal too, moreover denying, like this, the previous dismissing action of TOP (Officially Ruling!) of USPTO! So these, already newly, accused Bandits, Assassins and Brigands must be exemplarily punished too! Moreover, they knows the illiterate level of previous Examiner (with illegal consequently letter) and make intentional illegal silence about it. But moreover, such accused criminals (surely confirming accused Ruling Organization) are already themselves so simply evidently subjective as accused Bandits, Brigands and Assassins and next Examiners from such recidive Governmental Office must write VERY CONCRETELY ARGUMENTED letter without unsupported proclamations. Thank you in forward.

§2. USPTO openly potentialize falsified sendings. Yet in Covering Letter (08/11/04) (§5), I asked: "In view of very special "strange" course of my Applications, I must ask here very important question about "Notice of Abandonment". In US Law, concerning "Certificate of mailing or transmission" (37CRF §1.8, §512 MPEP) there is no mention about, even declaration, FOR nonreceiving of USPTO letters (ONLY of USPTO letters!), but only text about Certificate of mailing or transmission of Applicant letter. Does it mean that USPTO finally sends the registered letter wherein USPTO is sure about their receiving by Applicant and such Declaration (for nonreceiving of USPTO letter) is not needed consequently?...". Intentionally, I do not have insistently any answer. But moreover (in confirmation of open dishonesty of US GOV Office), this

*both pages are signed*

letter appeared, according to USPTO Register (Oh, God! Is it Revolution?) at 15<sup>th</sup> NOV 2006, justly after my very important letter ("abroad"). But it is dated as 31 OCT 2006, justly before my sending). In such permanently intentional situation, I accuse these accused Examiners also in falsification of date, moreover with persistent nonanswer for §5 of Cov.Lett. Anyway I did not receive any letter and saw it at USPTO Register only today. This is urgent sending in several hours. Please to answer for §5 of Cov.Letter and to stop accused falsifications. Very sincerely  
Dr.Y.Zagyansky

*Yupin*

*Yupin*

*both pages are signed  
and*

*Yupin*